

1  
2  
3  
4

5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7  
8 RICOH COMPANY, LTD., No. C-03-4669 MJJ (EMC)  
9 Plaintiff,

10 v.  
11 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, *et al.*,  
12 Defendants.

13 \_\_\_\_\_/  
14  
15  
16 ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'  
17 MOTION TO MOVE SANCTIONS  
18 MOTION HEARING DATE  
19 (Docket No. 394)

20 The Court, having reviewed the papers filed in support of and in opposition to the motion,  
21 and good cause appearing therefor, hereby denies Defendants' motion to move the hearing date on  
22 Plaintiff Ricoh's motion for sanctions, set for April 19, 2006 at 10:30 a.m. Defendants have failed to  
23 show good cause to continue the hearing. Neither party has asked for an evidentiary hearing and so  
24 Ms. Fink's testimony is not needed at that hearing. Furthermore, Defendants have had ample  
opportunity to submit testimonial evidence from Ms. Fink by way of declaration. Defendants have  
not demonstrated why other counsel from Howrey LLP can not argue the motion

25  
26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: March 28, 2006

28  
EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States Magistrate Judge

